Conformation of poly-L-glutamate is independent of ionic strength

Kan Xiong, Lu Ma, Sanford A. Asher *

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15260, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

▶ Poly-L-glutamate adopts unfolded PPII and 2.5-helix conformations in pure water.
▶ Charge screening of 2 M salts does not alter the conformation of poly-L-glutamate.
▶ Salts are excluded from the region between side chain charges and peptide backbone.
▶ No direct ion pairing occurs between salts and the side chain carboxylates.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

CD and UV resonance Raman measurements surprisingly find that the charge screening of even 2 M concentrations of NaCl and KCl does not alter the unfolded PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformations of poly-L-glutamate. These salts appear to be excluded from the region between the side chain charges and the peptide backbone. Furthermore, no direct ion pairing occurs between these salts and the side chain carboxylates.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The conformations of peptides and proteins depend upon their solution compositions, especially upon the presence of species that specifically interact with the peptides or proteins, or the water solvent [1–3]. In the work here we investigate the dependence of peptide conformation on the presence of salts.

Previous studies have demonstrated that ions can interact with peptides and proteins by binding, for example, to form ion pairs [4,5]. Collins et al.'s model of matching water affinities predicts that preferential ion pair formation occurs between oppositely charged ions of similar charge densities [4–6]. Alternatively the impact of ions can be less specific, as when they passively screen sidechain electrostatic interactions [7]. Higher ionic strengths more effectively screen electrostatic interactions between charges as well as between charges and fields associated with, for example, helix dipoles [8–10]. Another potential mechanism that could impact conformation could occur by the impact of ions on the water solvent properties that control protein/peptide hydration [11]. The Hofmeister series orders ions in their decreasing ability to perturb the water structure [12]. Kosmotropic ions interact strongly with water, leaving less water available for protein hydration. This phenomenon is proposed to "salt out" proteins. In contrast, chaotropic ions interact weakly with water, leaving

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 412 624 8570; fax: +1 412 624 0588.
E-mail address: asher@pitt.edu (S.A. Asher).

water more available for protein hydration. These ions "salt in" proteins.

In this work, we used circular dichroism (CD) and UV resonance Raman spectroscopy (UVRR) to investigate the impact of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ on the conformation of poly-L-glutamate (PGA). Previous studies indicate that PGA in pure water at pH 9 adopts predominantly unfolded PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformations [13]. The 2.5_1-helix conformation (with ~2.5 residues per helical turn) is more extended than the PPII conformation (with ~3 residues per helical turn). It was proposed that electrostatic repulsion between GLU side chains is responsible for the formation of the 2.5_1-helix conformation because it minimizes the repulsion between its splayed side chains [13,14]. Surprisingly, we observe a lack of perturbation by high concentrations of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ on the conformation of poly-L-glutamate at pH 8.3. We find that PGA is not converted to the α-helix conformation at high NaCl and KCl concentrations, and further that the equilibrium between PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformations of PGA is not altered by the presence of 2 M salts.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. CD results

The PGA conformations depend on the solution pH which defines the GLU side chain electrostatic interactions [15]. We performed all measurements at pH 8.3 where all GLU side chains are charged. The CD spectrum of PGA in pure water at 10 °C at pH 8.3 (black, Fig. 1) shows a positive band at ~217 nm and a strong negative band at ~197 nm, characteristic of PPII-like conformations [16,17]. The 0.2 M NaCl or KCl solution spectra are essentially identical to that in pure water. In 1.0 M NaCl or KCl, the positive band slightly decreases in amplitude while the trough becomes less negative, indicating only a slight destabilization of the PPII-like conformation [17]. The PPII-like features slightly further decrease in 2.0 M salt solutions; the NaCl and KCl CD spectra remain identical. These results are consistent with Iizuka et al. [18] and Wada's [19] experimental investigations.

We calculated the salt induced fractional α-helical concentration, f_α, by using a two-state model (Eq. (1)) and by utilizing the reported θ_{223} value for the pure α-helix of PGA ($[\theta]_\alpha = -35,400$ deg cm^2 dmol^{-1} [20]) and the Fig. 1 measured θ_{223} value for PGA in pure water where unfolded conformations dominate [13] ($[\theta]_{unfold} = 3250$ deg cm^2 dmol^{-1}). The calculated fractional α-helical concentration increases in 1 M and 2 M salts are 0.02 ± 0.01 and 0.04 ± 0.01, respectively. This clearly indicates the negligible α-helical concentration change.

$$f_\alpha = \frac{[\theta]_{223} - [\theta]_{unfold}}{[\theta]_\alpha - [\theta]_{unfold}} \tag{1}$$

The CD spectra measured at 30 °C and 50 °C (See Fig. S1–2) also indicate that similar high NaCl or KCl concentrations do not significantly alter the PGA conformational equilibrium.

2.2. UVRR results

The UVRR of PGA in pure water at pH 8.3 (Fig. 2a) shows an AmI band at ~1667 cm^{-1} (mainly CO s), an AmII band at ~1568 cm^{-1} (mainly out of phase combination of CN s and NH b), and (C)C$_\alpha$–H bending bands at ~1395 cm^{-1}. The AmIII region which mainly involves in phase combination of CN s and NH b occurs between ~1200 cm^{-1} and ~1340 cm^{-1}. The AmIII region contains an AmIII$_2$ band doublet at ~1298 cm^{-1} and ~1317 cm^{-1}, and an AmIII$_3$ band doublet at ~1247 cm^{-1} and ~1269 cm^{-1}. The AmIII$_3$ band at ~1247 cm^{-1} derives from a PPII-like conformation, while the AmIII$_3$ band at ~1269 cm^{-1} derives from a 2.5_1-helix conformation. [13]

In 2 M NaCl and KCl, the C$_\alpha$–H intensity slightly decreases compared to pure water, indicating a small α-helix content increase [21]. Also, the AmIII$_3$ region intensity slightly decreases [1,22]. Surprisingly, the relative intensity of the PPII to 2.5_1-helix bands does not change, even though the 2.5_1-helix conformation is stabilized by electrostatic repulsion between side chains [13,14]. The intensity in the AmI region increases dramatically because of a surprising increase in the Raman cross section of the underlying ~1660 cm^{-1} water O–H bending band due to the presence of a Cl$^- \rightarrow$ water charge transfer band [23–25].


Fig. 1. CD spectra of 1 mg/ml PGA in pure water and in a) 0.2 M, b) 1.0 M and c) 2 M NaCl and KCl at pH 8.3 at 10 °C.

Fig. 2. a) Temperature dependence of 204 nm excited UVRS of 1 mg/ml PGA in pure water. UVRS of PGA in pure water and in the presence of 2 M NaCl and KCl and their difference spectra: b) at 10°C; c) at 30°C; d) at 50°C. All spectra were normalized to the 1450 cm^{-1} band which shows little intensity variation. [14].

To calculate the magnitude of the salt induced α-helical conformation concentration increase, we subtracted the UVRR of PGA in pure water [where it exists in a PPII-like and 2.5$_1$-helix conformation (referred to as unfolded conformations in Section 2.1) equilibrium [13]], from that of PGA in 2 M NaCl and KCl such that the C$_α$–H band intensity at ~1395 cm^{-1} was minimized in the UVRR difference spectrum. The resulting PGA pure water UVRR intensities subtracted are directly proportional to the concentration of the PPII-like and 2.5$_1$-helix conformations at each temperature. From the difference spectra of the 2 M NaCl solution, we calculated a fractional α-helical concentration increase of 0.08 ± 0.03 (where the standard deviation between temperatures is ± 0.03.). The fractional α-helical concentration increase is 0.07 ± 0.03 for 2 M KCl. Thus, neither NaCl nor KCl induces much PGA α-helix formation.

NaCl and KCl occur in the middle of Hofmeister series, indicating that NaCl and KCl should have intermediate effects on the dehydration of PGA [11]. Collins et al.'s model of matching water affinities [4–6], that predicts that preferential ion pair formation occurs between oppositely charged ions of similar charge densities, predicts that the penultimate carboxylate would preferentially ion pair with Na$^+$ compared to K$^+$ [4]. This is supported by recent experimental and theoretical studies showing that –COO$^-$ groups preferentially pair with Na$^+$ [26–30].

We measured the UVRR of Na$^+$ (K$^+$) acetate in the presence of 4 M NaCl (KCl). Previous studies indicate that ion binding to the –COO$^-$ groups significantly shifts the carboxylate symmetric stretching band, $\nu_{s(COO^-)}$ and the C–C stretching band, $\nu_{(C-C)}$ to higher frequencies [31,32]. The $\nu_{s(COO^-)}$ and $\nu_{(C-C)}$ band frequencies do not change (Fig. 3) in 4 M Na$^+$ and 4 M K$^+$, indicating that neither Na$^+$ nor K$^+$ directly binds to the COO$^-$ groups.

Electrostatic repulsion between GLU side chains is responsible for the formation of the 2.5$_1$-helix conformation because it minimizes the repulsion between its splayed side chains [13,14]. Surprisingly we observe a lack of a NaCl or KCl dependence of the PPII and 2.5$_1$-helix PGA conformational equilibrium. We expected that high salt concentrations would decrease the electrostatic repulsion between GLU side chains. We can estimate the electrostatic repulsion decrease induced by salt screening from Eq. (2):

$$\psi(l) = \psi_0 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_w}{\varepsilon_r} \cdot e^{-l \cdot \kappa} \tag{2}$$

Fig. 3. 204 nm excited UVRS of sodium acetate (NaAc) and potassium acetate (KAc) and in the presence of 4 M NaCl and 4 M KCl at 10 °C: a) 0.02 M NaAc + 4 M NaCl; b) 0.02 M KAc + 4 M KCl; c) 0.02 M KAc; d) 0.02 M NaAc.

where $\psi(l)$ is the electrostatic potential at distance l in presence of salt screening; ψ_0 is the electrostatic potential with no screening; ε_w is the dielectric constant of pure water ($\varepsilon_w = 83$ [33]); ε_r is the dielectric constant of salt solutions (ε_r in 0.2 M, 1.0 M and 2.0 M NaCl and KCl solutions are 80, 70 and 60, respectively [33,34]); κ^{-1} is the Debye length which is defined by Eq. (3) [7]:

$$\kappa^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 k_B T}{2 N_A e^2 I}} \tag{3}$$

where ε_0 is the permittivity of free space ($\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ C^2 N^{-1} m^{-2}); k_B is the Boltzmann constant ($k_B = 1.380 \times 10^{-23}$ N m K^{-1}); T is the absolute temperature ($T = 283.15$ K); N_A is Avogadro's number ($N_A = 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ mol^{-1}); e is the elementary charge ($e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ C); I is the ionic strength of the salt solution. The Debye lengths, κ^{-1} in 0.2 M, 1.0 M and 2.0 M NaCl and KCl solutions are ~6.8 Å, 2.8 Å and 1.9 Å, while the distances between neighboring GLU sidechain charges are 8.3 Å and 8.4 Å for the PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformations, respectively [13]. We estimate that the GLU sidechain electrostatic repulsion should decrease by more than 50-fold for 2 M salt relative to that in pure water.

We naively expected that this change in electrostatic interactions should alter the equilibrium between the PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformations. The fact that this does not occur suggests that the ions are excluded from the region between side chains. This would also explain why the 2.5 M NaCl does not alter the PPII and 2.5_1-helix conformational equilibrium in poly-L-lysine [35]. It should be noted that our experimental results contradict the recent molecular dynamics simulation studies that indicate that Na$^+$ controls peptide conformations by binding to carboxylate side chain [36,37]. Obviously, the simulations are not using appropriate force fields [38].

3. Conclusion

We used circular dichroism and UV resonance Raman spectroscopy, to study the impact of screening of NaCl and KCl on the conformational equilibria of poly-L-glutamate. In contradiction to expectations, we observe a lack of impact of high concentrations of NaCl and KCl on the conformation of poly-L-glutamate. These salts appear to be excluded from the region between the side chain charges and the peptide backbone. Furthermore, we see no evidence of formation of ion pairs between Na$^+$ and K$^+$ salts and the side chain carboxylates.

4. Experimental methods

4.1. Samples

PGA (MW$_{vis}$ = 11,600, MW$_{MALLS}$ = 6649; DP$_{vis}$ and DP$_{MALLS}$ refer to the degree of polymerization measured by viscosity and multi-angle laser light scattering, respectively.) was purchased from Sigma Chemical. Peptide samples were prepared at 1 mg/ml concentrations by dissolving PGA in pure water or 2 M salt, and adjusted to pH 8.3. Sodium acetate (>99% purity) was purchased from EM Science; potassium acetate (>99% purity) was purchased from Sigma.

4.2. CD spectra

CD spectra were measured for poly-L-glutamate by using a Jasco-715 spectropolarimeter with a 0.02 cm path length cuvette. We collected CD spectra from 250 to 190 nm. We utilized 3-min accumulation times and five accumulations were averaged.

4.3. UVRR spectra

The UVRR apparatus has been described in detail by Bykov et al. [33]. Briefly, 204 nm UV light (2 mW average power, 100 µm diameter spot, 25–40 ns pulse width) was obtained by mixing the 3rd harmonic with the 816 nm fundamental of a 1 kHz repetition rate tunable Ti:Sapphire laser system (DM20-527 TU-L-FHG) from Photonics Industries. The sample was circulated in a free surface, temperature-controlled stream. A 165° sampling backscattering geometry was used. The collected light was dispersed by a double monochromator onto a back thinned CCD camera with a Lumogen E coating (Princeton Instruments-Spec 10 System). We utilized 5-min accumulation times, and four accumulations were averaged.

Acknowledgements

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data for this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2011.11.002.

References

[1] K. Xiong, E.K. Asciutto, J.D. Madura, S.A. Asher, Salt dependence of α-helical peptide folding energy landscapes, Biochemistry (2009) 10818–10826.
[2] M.G. Cacace, E.M. Landau, J.J. Ramsden, The Hofmeister series: salt and solvent effects on interfacial phenomena, Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics 30 (3) (1997) 241–277.
[3] A.S. Thomas, A.H. Elcock, Molecular dynamics simulations of hydrophobic associations in aqueous salt solutions indicate a connection between water hydrogen bonding and the Hofmeister effect, Journal of the American Chemical Society 129 (48) (2007) 14887–14898.
[4] K.D. Collins, Ions from the Hofmeister series and osmolytes: effects on proteins in solution and in the crystallization process, Methods 34 (3) (2004) 300–311.
[5] K.D. Collins, G.W. Neilson, J.E. Enderby, Ions in water: characterizing the forces that control chemical processes and biological structure, Biophysical Chemistry 128 (2–3) (2007) 95–104.
[6] K.D. Collins, Charge density-dependent strength of hydration and biological structure, Biophysical Journal 72 (1) (1997) 65–76.
[7] P. Debye, E. Huckel, Zur Theorie der Elektrolyte. Phys. Z 24 (1923) 185–206.
[8] K.R. Shoemaker, P.S. Kim, E.J. York, J.M. Stewart, R.L. Baldwin, Tests of the helix dipole model for stabilization of alpha-helices, Nature 326 (1987) 563–567.
[9] W.G.J. Hol, The role of the alpha-helix dipole in protein function and structure, Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 45 (1985) 149–195.
[10] W.G.J. Hol, P.T.v. Duijnen, H.J.C. Berendsen, The alpha-helix dipole and the properties of proteins, Nature 273 (1978) 443–446.
[11] K.D. Collins, M.W. Washabaugh, The Hofmeister effect and the behavior of water at interfaces, Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics 18 (1985) 323–422.
[12] Y.J. Zhang, P.S. Cremer, Interactions between macromolecules and ions: the Hofmeister series, Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 10 (6) (2006) 658–663.
[13] A.V. Mikhonin, N.S. Myshakina, S.V. Bykov, S.A. Asher, UV resonance Raman determination of polyproline II, extended 2.5_1-helix, and β-sheet Ψ angle energy landscape in poly-L-lysine and poly-L-glutamic acid, Journal of the American Chemical Society 127 (21) (2005) 7712–7720.
[14] S. Krimm, J.E. Mark, Conformations of polypeptides with ionized side chains of equal length, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 60 (1968) 1122–1129.
[15] S. Song, S.A. Asher, UV resonance Raman studies of peptide conformation in poly(L-lysine), poly(L-glutamic acid), and model complex: the basis for protein secondary structure determinations, Journal of the American Chemical Society 111 (1989) 4295–4305.
[16] N. Sreerama, R.W. Woody, Polyproline II helices in globular-proteins—identification and circular dichroic analysis, Biochemistry 33 (33) (1994) 10022–10025.
[17] Z.S. Shi, K. Chen, Z.G. Liu, N.R. Kallenbach, Conformation of the backbone in unfolded proteins, Chemical Reviews 106 (5) (2006) 1877–1897.
[18] E. Iizuka, J.T. Yang, Effect of salts and dioxane on the coiled conformation of poly-L-glutamic acid in aqueous solution, Biochemistry 4 (1965) 1249–1257.
[19] A. Wada, Helix-coil transformation and titration curve of poly-L-glutamic acid, Molecular Physics 3 (1960) 409–416.
[20] K. Yamaoka, T. Masujima, Macromolecule–metal ion complexes. 4. Visible–UV absorption and circular-dichroism studies of poly(α-L-glutamic acid)-copper(ii) complexes with emphasis on the extrinsic cotton effect, Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan 52 (5) (1979) 1286–1296.

[21] Y. Wang, R. Purrello, T. Jordan, T.G. Spiro, UVRR spectroscopy of the peptide-bond. 1. Amide-S, a nonhelical structure marker, is a C_α–H bending mode, Journal of the Amariden Chemical Society 113 (17) (1991) 6359–6368.

[22] B. Sharma, S.V. Bykov, S.A. Asher, UV resonance Raman investigation of electronic transitions in alpha-helical and polyproline II-like conformations, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 112 (37) (2008) 11762–11769.

[23] J.W. Schultz, D.F. Hornig, The effect of dissolved alkali halides on the Raman spectra of water, Journal of Physical Chemistry 65 (1961) 2131–2138.

[24] T.T. Wall, D.F. Hornig, Raman spectra of water in concentrated ionic solutions, Journal of Chemical Physics 47 (1967) 784–792.

[25] K. Xiong, S.A. Asher, Lowest energy electronic transition in aqueous Cl^- salts: $Cl^- \rightarrow (H_2O)_6$ charge transfer transition, Journal of Physical Chemistry A 115 (34) (2011) 9345–9348.

[26] E.F. Aziz, N. Ottosson, S. Eisebitt, W. Eberhardt, B. Jagoda-Cwiklik, R. Vacha, P. Jungwirth, B. Winter, Cation-specific interactions with carboxylate in amino acid and acetate aqueous solutions: X-ray absorption and ab initio calculations, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 112 (40) (2008) 12567–12570.

[27] B. Jagoda-Cwiklik, R. Vacha, M. Lund, M. Srebro, P. Jungwirth, Ion pairing as a possible clue for discriminating between sodium and potassium in biological and other complex environments, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 111 (51) (2007) 14077–14079.

[28] L. Vrbka, J. Vondrasek, B. Jagoda-Cwiklik, R. Vacha, P. Jungwirth, Quantification and rationalization of the higher affinity of sodium over potassium to protein surfaces, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103 (42) (2006) 15440–15444.

[29] J. Dzubiella, Salt-specific stability and denaturation of a short salt-bridge-forming alpha-helix, Journal of the American Chemical Society 130 (42) (2008) 14000–14007.

[30] Y. von Hansen, I. Kalcher, J. Dzubiella, Ion specificity in alpha-helical folding kinetics, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 114 (43) (2010) 13815–13822.

[31] F. Quiles, A. Burneau, Infrared and Raman spectra of alkaline-earth and copper(II) acetates in aqueous solutions, Vibrational Spectroscopy 16 (2) (1998) 105–117.

[32] J.E. Tackett, FT-IR characterization of metal acetates in aqueous solution, Applied Spectroscopy 43 (1989) 483–489.

[33] R. Buchner, G.T. Hefter, P.M. May, Dielectric relaxation of aqueous NaCl solutions, Journal of Physical Chemistry A 103 (1) (1999) 1–9.

[34] A. Lyashchenko, A. Lileev, Dielectric relaxation of water in hydration shells of ions, Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data 55 (5) (2010) 2008–2016.

[35] L. Ma, Z. Ahmed, S.A. Asher, Ultraviolet resonance Raman study of side chain electrostatic control of poly-L-lysine conformation, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 115 (14) (2011) 4251–4258.

[36] M.V. Fedorov, J.M. Goodman, S. Schumm, To switch or not to switch: the effects of potassium and sodium ions on α-poly-L-glutamate conformations in aqueous solutions, Journal of the American Chemical Society 131 (31) (2009) 10854–10856.

[37] M.V. Fedorov, J.M. Goodman, S. Schumm, The effect of sodium chloride on poly-L-glutamate conformation, Chemical Communications 8 (2009) 896–898.

[38] G.A. Kaminski, R.A. Friesner, J. Tirado-Rives, W.L. Jorgensen, Evaluation and reparametrization of the OPLS-AA force field for proteins via comparison with accurate quantum chemical calculations on peptides, The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B 105 (28) (2001) 6474–6487.